


REMARKS

In the office action dated November 16, 2004, the Examiner requested restriction to one of the species of Figures 10, 11, 12 or 13. In response, the species of Figure 12 was elected and ultimately the Examiner allowed Claims 2, 4, 5 and 10. The foregoing amendment adds Claims 11-15. Claim 11 is an independent claim and recites that the width of the second separated body does not exceed the width of the first separated body, subject matter which the Examiner has indicated is allowable. It is submitted that Claims 11, 12, 14 and 15 are generic to the species of Figures 10, 11 and 12 and that Claim 13 is generic to the species of Figures 11 and 12.

CONCLUSION

The foregoing is submitted as a complete response to the Office Action identified above. This application should now be in condition for allowance, and the Applicants solicit a notice to that effect. If there are any issues that can be addressed via telephone, the Examiner is asked to contact the undersigned at 404.685.6799.

Respectfully submitted,



By: Brenda O. Holmes
Reg. No. 40,339

KILPATRICK STOCKTON LLP
1100 Peachtree Street, Suite 2800
Atlanta, Georgia 30309-4530
Telephone: (404) 815-6500
Facsimile: (404) 815-6555
Our Docket: 44471/298741